UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,774	11/17/2005	Bernard Roizman	27373/38819A	2653
	7590 06/30/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			MOSHER, MARY	
	SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/530,774	ROIZMAN ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(3)					
(4)					
Date of Interview: 6/24/08.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 35</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In allowable subject matter, claim 35, reference to "the base claim and intervening claims" was a mistake since claim 35 was independent. Limitations similar to those in claim 1 would probably overcome the 112 2 nd part of the rejection of claim 35. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/Mary E Mosher, Ph.D./	240				
	Examiner Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. personnel): (3) (4) 2) applicant's representative e) No. No. nature of what was agreed to rer, claim 35, reference to "the mitations similar to those in claim to the enditations of the amendments that will be a last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, RVIEW. See Summary of Review of Review of Review of Review of Review of Review Summary of R				